

CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF MERIDIAN
TOWNSHIP BOARD SPECIAL MEETING - **APPROVED** -
5151 Marsh Road, Okemos, MI 48864-1198
853-4000, Town Hall Room
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 11, 2005, **6:00 P.M.**

PRESENT: Supervisor McGillicuddy, Clerk Helmbrecht, Treasurer Hunting, Trustees Brixie, Such, Veenstra
ABSENT: Trustee Woiwode
STAFF: Township Manager Gerald Richards, Director of Community Planning & Development Mark Kieselbach, EMS/Fire Chief Fred Cowper (7:45 P.M.), Police Chief Dave Hall, Director of Engineering & Public Works Ray Severy, Personnel Director/Assistant Manager Paul Brake, Attorney Andria Ditschman

1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER
Supervisor McGillicuddy called the meeting to order at 6:01 P.M.
2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
Supervisor McGillicuddy led the Pledge of Allegiance.
3. ROLL CALL
Supervisor McGillicuddy called the roll of the Board.
4. PUBLIC REMARKS
Supervisor McGillicuddy opened Public Remarks.

Leonard Provenchur, 5824 Buena Parkway, Haslett, spoke concerning the conduct of government as it relates to public access of information.

Lynne Page, President, Briarwood Home Owners Association, 3912 Raleigh Drive, Okemos, expressed appreciation to the Township for co-sponsoring the October 5th forum with the Ingham County Road Commission to discuss the 2006 Okemos Road Boulevard/Median Project. She believed the majority of members of the business community and residents wanted the median project deferred, and requested the budget expenditure for this line item be deleted from the 2006 budget.

Will Tyler White, 4695 Okemos Road, Okemos, spoke in opposition to a proposed smaller boundary for the Downtown Development Authority (DDA).

Cheryl Fritze, President, Riverwood Park Neighborhood Association, 2207 White Owl Way, Okemos, spoke in opposition to the proposed Okemos Median Project and requested Board removal of this item from the 2006 budget.

Supervisor McGillicuddy closed Public Remarks.

5. REPORTS/BOARD COMMENT/NEW WORRIES
Supervisor McGillicuddy reported that the Capstone Development rezoning has been rescheduled for the November 15, 2005 Board meeting.

Township Manager Richards announced there will be a follow-up meeting on the Okemos Road Median Project to be held at 7:00 P.M. on Wednesday, October 19, 2005 at the Meridian Senior Center. The consultant will have information and answers to questions, comments and recommendations made at the October 5th meeting.

CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF MERIDIAN, SPECIAL MEETING, OCTOBER 11, 2005 *APPROVED*

Trustee Veenstra reminded the public there will be public hearing on the 2006 recommended budget during the October 18, 2005 Township Board meeting. He also noted Director Kieselbach's letter to the City of Lansing offering comments on the revised site plan for the College Fields development.

6. APPROVAL OF AGENDA — OR CHANGES

Trustee Brixie moved to approve the agenda as submitted. Seconded by Trustee Such.

VOICE VOTE: Motion carried 6-0.

7. CONSENT AGENDA

Supervisor McGillicuddy reviewed the consent agenda.

Trustee Brixie moved to adopt the Consent Agenda. Seconded by Trustee Such.

ROLL CALL VOTE: YEAS: Trustees Brixie, Such, Veenstra, Supervisor McGillicuddy, Clerk Helmbrecht, Treasurer Hunting

NAYS: None

Motion carried 6-0.

The adopted Consent Agenda items are as follow:

A. Communications

(1). Board Information (BI)

BI-1 Robert L. Francoeur, 6095 Horizon Drive, East Lansing; RE: Letter of resignation as the Meridian Township Representative on the Greater Lansing Passenger Rail Council

BI-2 John J. Hood, Principal, Wardcliff Elementary School, 5150 Wardcliff Drive, East Lansing; RE: Letter to the Ingham County Road Commission pertaining to the potential extension of Greencliff Drive for the Capstone Development

BI-3 Board members of the Wardcliff Elementary School PTO, 5150 Wardcliff Drive, East Lansing; RE: Letter to Jean McDonald, Chair of the Ingham County Road Commission, pertaining to the potential extension of Greencliff Drive for the Capstone Development

BI-4 Louis M. Shelburg, 2825 Roseland, East Lansing; RE: Capstone application

BI-5 Bud Nilson, 3965 Okemos Road, Okemos; RE: Request to create a streetlighting district for the Sanctuary Subdivision

(2). Staff Communication/Referral (SC)

SC-1 Mark Kieselbach, Director of Community Planning and Development; RE: Letter to the East Lansing Planning Commission pertaining to the East Village Master Plan

SC-2 Mark Kieselbach, Director of Community Planning and Development; RE: Letter to Christine Segerlind, Building Safety Manager, City of Lansing, relative to Governor's Collection/College Fields Site Plans (dated August 18, 2005)

SC-3 Michigan Townships Association Legislative E-Report September 16, 2005 Edition

SC-4 Michigan Townships Association Legislative E-Report September 23, 2005 Edition

Trustee Brixie moved that the communications be received and placed on file, and any communications not already assigned for disposition be referred to the Township Manager or Supervisor for follow-up. Seconded by Trustee Such.

ROLL CALL VOTE: YEAS: Trustees Brixie, Such, Veenstra, Supervisor McGillicuddy, Clerk Helmbrecht, Treasurer Hunting

NAYS: None

Motion carried 6-0.

B. Minutes

Trustee Brixie moved to approve and ratify the minutes of the September 13, 2005 Budget Deliberations as **submitted. Seconded by Trustee Such.**

ROLL CALL VOTE: YEAS: Trustees Brixie, Such, Veenstra, Supervisor McGillicuddy,
Clerk Helmbrecht, Treasurer Hunting
NAYS: None
Motion carried 6-0.

Trustee Brixie moved to approve and ratify the minutes of the September 20, 2005 Regular Meeting as **submitted. Seconded by Trustee Such.**

ROLL CALL VOTE: YEAS: Trustees Brixie, Such, Veenstra, Supervisor McGillicuddy,
Clerk Helmbrecht, Treasurer Hunting
NAYS: None
Motion carried 6-0.

C. Bills

Trustee Brixie moved that the Township Board approve the Manager's Bills as follows:

| | |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Common Cash | \$ 496,897.90 |
| Public Works | \$ 126,337.82 |
| Total Checks | \$ 623,235.72 |
| Credit Card Transactions | \$ 14,720.97 |
| Total Purchases | <u>\$ 637,956.69</u> |
| ACH Payments | <u>\$ 421,024.31</u> |

Seconded by Trustee Such.

ROLL CALL VOTE: YEAS: Trustees Brixie, Such, Veenstra, Supervisor McGillicuddy,
Clerk Helmbrecht, Treasurer Hunting
NAYS: None
Motion carried 6-0.

[Bill list in Official Minute Book]

D. Assessing Stipulations

Trustee Brixie moved that the Township Assessor be authorized to sign a stipulation with Target Corporation on the following property:

| YEAR | DOCKET NO. | ADDRESS OF PROPERTY |
|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| 2005 | 0310055 | 4890 Marsh Road |
| Assessment | 2005 | AV/TV \$3,439,500/3,086,289 |
| Proposed Assessment | 2005 | AV/TV \$2,590,000/2,589,008 |

Seconded by Trustee Such.

ROLL CALL VOTE: YEAS: Trustees Brixie, Such, Veenstra, Supervisor McGillicuddy,
Clerk Helmbrecht, Treasurer Hunting
NAYS: None
Motion carried 6-0.

Trustee Brixie moved that the Township Assessor be authorized to sign a stipulation with Target Corporation on the following property:

| YEAR | DOCKET NO. | ADDRESS OF PROPERTY |
|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| 2004 | 0310055 | 4890 Marsh Road |
| Assessment | 2004 | AV/TV \$3,470,300/3,016,901 |
| Proposed Assessment | 2004 | AV/TV \$2,530,800/2,530,800 |

Seconded by Trustee Such.

ROLL CALL VOTE: YEAS: Trustees Brixie, Such, Veenstra, Supervisor McGillicuddy,
Clerk Helmbrecht, Treasurer Hunting
NAYS: None
Motion carried 6-0.

E. 2005 F.I.R.E. Grant

Trustee Brixie moved to authorize the Fire Department to apply for and accept a Fire Investment and Response Enhancement Act (F.I.R.E.) Grant through the Department of Homeland Security in the amount of \$47,000.00. Seconded by Trustee Such.

ROLL CALL VOTE: YEAS: Trustees Brixie, Such, Veenstra, Supervisor McGillicuddy,
Clerk Helmbrecht, Treasurer Hunting
NAYS: None
Motion carried 6-0.

F. Resolution Supporting Trail Way System

Trustee Brixie moved to approve the attached resolution supporting the creation of the "Heart of Michigan Area Trails & Greenways Partnership", and appointing the Director of Public Works & Engineering as the Township's representative and the Chief Engineer as his alternate to the Heart of Michigan Trails and Greenways Partnership. Seconded by Trustee Such.

ROLL CALL VOTE: YEAS: Trustees Brixie, Such, Veenstra, Supervisor McGillicuddy,
Clerk Helmbrecht, Treasurer Hunting
NAYS: None
Motion carried 6-0.

8. QUESTIONS FOR THE ATTORNEY (See Agenda Items #11C, #11D)

9. HEARINGS (None)

10. ACTION ITEMS/ENDS

Supervisor McGillicuddy opened and closed public comment.

- A. Final Plat #04022 (Terra Prima, LLC) Approval for The Meadows Subdivision, a 118-lot single family subdivision located at the northeast corner of Jolly and Hulett Roads
Director Kieselbach summarized the final plat as outlined in staff memorandum dated September 29, 2005.

Clerk Helmbrecht moved [and read into the record] NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THE TOWNSHIP BOARD OF THE CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF MERIDIAN approves the Final Plat of The Meadows. Seconded by Trustee Such.

Board members discussed the following:

- Board initiated rezoning
- Concessions made by the developer at the Board's request

ROLL CALL VOTE: YEAS: Trustees Brixie, Such, Veenstra, Supervisor McGillicuddy,
Clerk Helmbrecht, Treasurer Hunting
NAYS: None
Motion carried 6-0.

- B. SUP #05051 (Nilson), request to construct a commercial complex with five buildings that have a total square footage of over 25,000 square feet, at 6200 Pine Hollow Drive
Director Kieselbach summarized the special use permit request as outlined in staff memorandum dated September 29, 2005.

Trustee Such moved [and read into the record] NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THE TOWNSHIP BOARD OF THE CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF MERIDIAN hereby approves Special Use Permit #05051, for a shopping center of approximately 36,320 square feet in gross floor area, with the following conditions:

- 1. Approval is granted in accordance with the plans prepared by Weir Engineering, received by the Township on May 16, 2005, indicating five buildings with a gross floor area of approximately 36,320 square feet, and the accompanying materials provided by the applicant, subject to revisions as required.**
- 2. The plans shall be revised to include a sidewalk connection along Pine Hollow Drive and a sidewalk connection along BL-69. The location and design of the sidewalk connections shall be subject to the approval of the Director of Community Planning and Development.**

Seconded by Treasurer Hunting.

Board members discussed the following:

- Type of development envisioned by the Board when the rezoning was approved
- Appreciation for the addition of sidewalks

ROLL CALL VOTE: YEAS: Trustees Brixie, Such, Veenstra, Supervisor McGillicuddy,
Clerk Helmbrecht, Treasurer Hunting
NAYS: None
Motion carried 6-0.

- C. Zoning Amendment #04120 (Planning Commission), an amendment to Section 86-439 Planned Unit Development of the zoning ordinance
Director Kieselbach summarized the proposed zoning amendment as outlined in staff memorandum dated September 27, 2005.

Trustee Brixie moved [and read into the record] NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE TOWNSHIP BOARD OF THE CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF MERIDIAN hereby INTRODUCES FOR PUBLICATION AND SUBSEQUENT ADOPTION Ordinance No. _____, entitled "Ordinance Amending the Code of the Charter Township of Meridian, Michigan, Chapter 86, Article IV, Division 4, Section 86-439 by amending Section 86-439(e) and Section 86-439(f), and by adding Section 86-439(h)".

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of the Charter Township of Meridian is directed to publish the Ordinance in the form in which it is introduced at least once prior to the next regular meeting of the Township Board.

Seconded by Clerk Helmbrecht.

ROLL CALL VOTE: YEAS: Trustees Brixie, Such, Veenstra, Supervisor McGillicuddy,
Clerk Helmbrecht, Treasurer Hunting
NAYS: None
Motion carried 6-0.

11. DISCUSSION ITEMS/ENDS

Supervisor McGillicuddy opened and closed public comment.

- A. Rezoning#05060 (Jamzadeh/Soroushian), request to rezone 3925 Dobie Road, a 1.5 acre parcel located on the west side of Dobie Road, north of Jolly Road and south of Kinawa Drive, from RR (Rural Residential) to RA (Single Family-Medium Density)
Director Kieselbach summarized the rezoning request as outlined in staff memorandum dated September 30, 2005.

Board members discussed the following:

- Existing house has been demolished
- No prohibition within our code for larger lot to wrap around the smaller lot
- Subdivision regulations contain a width to depth ratio
- Width and depth of lots adjacent to this parcel approximately the same as the parcel
- Lot size prohibits larger developments as zoned (e.g., hospital, church, day care center)
- Need for staff approval of a land division
- Ingham County Road Commission will not approve two (2) driveway permits due to sight distance problem
- Current Township ordinance does not permit a shared driveway
- Need for Township Zoning Board of Appeals variance for a shared driveway
- Legal non-conforming lot as currently zoned

The consensus of the Board was to place this item on for action at the October 18, 2005 Board meeting.

- B. Sign Ordinance, Industrial and Research Park Districts

Director Kieselbach summarized the draft sign regulations for the Research Park/Industrial Zoning Districts as outlined in staff memorandum dated September 30, 2005.

Board members discussed the following:

- Tri-vision signage not included in the draft
- Illumination section (e) (2) to be treated the same as outdoor lighting (illumination from above)
- Consultation with the Township Attorney to address lighting within the sign ordinance
- Possible inclusion of language in (e) (2) to address illumination relative to diffusion of light into the sky
- Clocks not included because they are not addressed as a sign
- Time and temperature signs allowed in all commercial districts
- Time and temperature signs should be included in office, industrial and research park zoning districts
- Moving signs not allowed by right in commercial districts
- Additional chapter to include definitions and general standards
- “Cluttered” look of a community with a relaxed sign ordinance distracting for drivers

It was the consensus of the Board for discussion to continue and have staff provide an overview for the November 15, 2005 Board meeting as to why clocks/time and temperature have not been allowed in the past.

- C. Street Lighting Ordinance

Attorney Ditschman summarized the proposed changes to the current outdoor lighting ordinance to include regulation of residential street lights.

Waiver Section and the appellate process: (Questions for the Attorney (See Agenda Item #8))

- Q. The only reason to have a waiver is that they have to show a compelling safety reason that can't be addressed by this. If it was made to the Board, who would they then appeal to?

CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF MERIDIAN, SPECIAL MEETING, OCTOBER 11, 2005 *APPROVED*

A. I think it probably makes more sense to go to the Planning Director, someone in the Planning Department or the Public Works Department. I'm not sure, but I think they deal with the assessments for street lighting regularly so maybe that is more appropriate. I haven't heard back from Mr. Severy, but I assume one of those two departments could look at it and say, based on safety issues, you just cannot comply with these requirements and put lights in, but it still seems appropriate. Then, there is still an appeal to the Board.

Q. On the second part of the waiver section where it states "there is a compelling safety interest that cannot be addressed by any method, maybe it should say "any other method"?"

A. Sure.

Q. Tell me again, Andria, why it wouldn't go to the Zoning Board of Appeals?

A. The way I understand this lighting ordinance as currently written, it is not part of the zoning ordinance. I had forgotten that as I was looking through it as well, and when I talked with Mr. Kieselbach, he reminded me of that. We can't send it to the ZBA for a variance because it is not a zoning ordinance; it's a stand alone.

Inclusion of facility definition: (Questions for the Attorney (See Agenda Item #8))

Q. Does the definition of facility include signage? There is no definition in here on facilities but it is used at the top of page 3. The way I understand facilities, it would but I am interested in the legal definition.

A. You should probably add a definition. I bet I can take one from another ordinance, and we can decide if we want that to include signage. We could write that in a definition.

Impact on residential lighting: (Questions for the Attorney (See Agenda Item #8))

Q. I have a question regarding the residential impact of this. The first paragraph of the exemption section states the following types of outdoor lighting are exempt from regulations of this article, except such luminaries are prohibited from causing direct light towards residential structures. So does that mean coming from residential structures to residential structures?

A. This is part of adding to an already written ordinance. The way I would read it with the change is that all districts, including single and two-family, cannot cause direct light towards another residence.

Q. Would that mean a yard light on a single-family house could not shine on a single-family house next door?

A. Directly, correct. That is the way I read it, and that is one of the issues that Mr. Kieselbach brought up, that is may be a bit confusing when we are trying to add sections to an already written ordinance.

Grandfathering of existing lights: (Questions for the Attorney (See Agenda Item #8))

Q. The application of this would be just like everything else, where if we adopted these changes to the ordinance, anyone who has an existing light would be grandfathered in and continue to be allowed to use the light. Is that correct?

A. This is a stand alone ordinance, so it would be your option. If it was zoning, it would be grandfathered in if it was non-conforming. If it is stand lone, you can either write it in that the only time you need to bring it into code is when the fixture goes out or the pole goes out or you can put a date in here, stating that by this date, all lights will be in compliance with the lighting ordinance. You could kind of slowly allow it to come into conformance. You could say that you only need to come into conformance if something breaks and needs to be replaced. That is up to the Board.

Board members discussed the following:

- Board would then be the appellate body
- Broad definition of facility does include signs
- Residential lights contribute to light pollution
- Make a positive statement in another section about prohibiting lights that cause direct light toward residential structures instead of an exemption in the exemption section
- Creation of an end date as an enforceability measure
- Staff to look at enforcement issues; ease of enforcement, etc.

CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF MERIDIAN, SPECIAL MEETING, OCTOBER 11, 2005 *APPROVED*

- Tax bills could provide space for an informational area for resident notification
- Meridian Monitor as a possible vehicle for the education process regarding the lighting ordinance
- Possibility of an educational pamphlet when developers/builders contact the Township for a new subdivision
- Mailing of an educational pamphlet to the Township's list of builders
- Information on Township ordinances and rules when a home is purchased
- Lack of a consistent contact point for new residents
- Glare from old farm lights problematic
- Staff to provide information on maintained footcandles
- Yard light glare on poles in single family residential areas addressed in the exemption section

Effect of residential lighting on other residences: (Questions for the Attorney (See Agenda Item #8))

- Q. Maybe I misunderstood, but has part of what was just read in the exemption section been deleted?
- A. In Section 38-375, the only portion deleted is "but remain subject to the outdoor lighting not" because, for some reason, there were extra words that should not have been in there, so I tried to shorten it. I will be moving this to take it out of the exemption section anyways to streamline it more. In general, what this says is there is no height limitation on any lights except for streetlights in one and two family residential districts; however, any light in a one and two family residential district cannot cause direct light towards residential structures or adjacent or nearby properties or create disability glare. That language will still be intact, so any other light in any other one and two family residential districts can't violate that section which, as I said, would be the tall light on the pole, the light on the side of the barn, the light on the side of the garage, all the other types of lights.
- Q. How long do you think it would take to address all the Board concerns on this?
- A. I can have these sections done for the meeting in three weeks. I will try to talk to Mr. Severy and Mr. Kieselbach and see if they have any other input.

The consensus of the Board was to have staff incorporate comments into the draft ordinance and place this item on for continued discussion at the November 15, 2005 Board meeting.

- D. Downtown Development Authority (DDA)
Manager Richards summarized the requested additional information as outlined in staff memorandum dated September 30, 2005.

Board members discussed the following:

- Leave the tax verbiage as currently written
- The DDA should have the ability to tax themselves if they desire if approved by the DDA Board and the Township Board
- Elimination of the option for a property tax increase will eliminate worry for those residents who do not support it
- Amendment of the DDA ordinance in the future if there is support by business and property owners for a millage option
- Membership of the DDA Board must be more than 50% property owners within the DDA
- Inclusion of homeowners within the DDA district should preclude taxing ability
- Ten year limitation to allow the possibility for other areas within the Township to form a DDA
- No purposeful reason to include parks within the DDA
- Land Preservation property should not be included within the boundary of the DDA
- Inclusion of park property within the DDA would make parks eligible for DDA funds to be spent for improvements in the parks
- Preference for change in the western boundary along Hamilton Road as it removes the potential for the four (4) historic single family homes to be lost in redevelopment into another type of use
- Grant to improve connectivity and walkability from downtown Okemos to our parks versus concern over including parks for possible development
- Inclusion of undeveloped property within the tax base

CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF MERIDIAN, SPECIAL MEETING, OCTOBER 11, 2005 *APPROVED*

- Park land is owned by the Township, used for public purposes, not assessed taxes and therefore would not generate income from tax increment financing if included in the DDA
- Possible development of vacant property, but focus would be on potential redevelopment of existing developed property
- Inclusion of parks within the DDA would allow park improvements to be made with TIFA dollars (e.g., possible pedestrian bridge across the Red Cedar River)
- Cannot exclude Ferguson and Wonch Parks by going down the road right-of-way
- Follow the northern line along Ferguson Park and leave out Land Preservation property
- Mr. Sumbal's request to not have his land included in the DDA
- Only passive recreation allowed on Land Preservation property

Q. Andria, do you have any comments on this?

A. There is nothing specifically that prohibits pathways on Land Preservation property, but the purposes are to protect, preserve and enhance the open space and special natural features...to serve additional public purposes through purchase of property and interest in open space and natural habitat, water quality...to leave a natural legacy and to continue a pleasant and livable community that will benefit residents, visitors and the local economy...to preserve natural landscape in perpetuity. It doesn't specifically exempt out pathways. I think there is probably some opening there for something as far as a natural pathway, but somehow wouldn't cause any further deterioration to any of the surrounding environment. I'm not sure how you control that with a pathway at all. But it seems to infer that it is just to be left open, but I think the Board could look into these provisions and could open them up if they felt that was appropriate.

The consensus of the Board was to continue this as a discussion item at the October 18, 2005 Board meeting.

- E. Cornell Road Property Exchange with Okemos Public Schools
Manager Richards summarized the proposed land exchange with Okemos Public Schools as outlined in staff memorandum dated September 29, 2005.

Board members and staff discussed the following:

- Beneficial exchange for both entities
- Concern over trade of upland property for wetland
- Upland (parcel around Cornell School) is not buildable or useable
- Previous maintenance of the Cornell Road property by the Okemos Public Schools
- Township use of Okemos Public School property vs. inability to use Cornell Road property
- Use of current Township property (Hartrick Park) for Okemos High School recreation purposes
- Potential plan for the existing park property to continue the trail connection to S. Hagadorn Road
- Addition of property adjacent to Hartrick Park has a utilitarian use for the Township

The consensus of the Board was to place this on for action at a future Board meeting after the legal documents have been prepared.

12. PUBLIC REMARKS

Supervisor McGillicuddy opened Public Remarks.

Bill Jackson, Real Estate and Government Affairs Manager, Adams Outdoor Advertising, 3801 Capital City Boulevard, Lansing, thanked the Board for the opportunity to have the Board consider the multi-face and automatic changeable copy displays in the draft sign ordinance. He extended an invitation for Board members to attend a ribbon cutting ceremony in East Lansing where an industry unique billboard has been redeveloped. Personal Board invitations will be forthcoming.

Carl Harmon, 1924 Birchwood, Okemos, stated he felt that more than one-half of the land proposed to be within the DDA would be park land and questioned if there was precedent for a Park Commission member to sit on a DDA Board. He voiced appreciation for the letter sent to Lansing by Mark Kieselbach regarding continued concerns over the College Fields.

Leonard Provenchur, 5824 Buena Parkway, Haslett, summarized his thoughts on various discussion items.

Supervisor McGillicuddy closed Public Remarks.

13. CLOSED SESSION

Trustee Such moved that the Township Board go into closed session for a strategy session connected with the negotiation of collective bargaining agreements, and to consult with the Township Attorney regarding pending litigation. Seconded by Trustee Brixie.

ROLL CALL VOTE: YEAS: Trustees Brixie, Such, Veenstra, Supervisor
McGillicuddy, Clerk Helmbrecht, Treasurer Hunting
NAYS: None
Motion carried 6-0.

Supervisor McGillicuddy recessed the meeting at 8:02 P.M.

The Board adjourned to the Upstairs Conference Room for a closed session.

Trustee Such moved to return to open session. Seconded by Treasurer Hunting.

ROLL CALL VOTE: YEAS: Trustees Brixie, Such, Veenstra, Supervisor
McGillicuddy, Clerk Helmbrecht, Treasurer Hunting
NAYS: None
Motion carried 6-0.

Trustee Such moved to go forward as discussed in closed session. Seconded by Treasurer Hunting.

ROLL CALL VOTE: YEAS: Trustees Brixie, Such, Veenstra, Supervisor
McGillicuddy, Clerk Helmbrecht, Treasurer Hunting
NAYS: None
Motion carried 6-0.

14. ADJOURNMENT

Supervisor McGillicuddy adjourned the meeting at 9:34 P.M.

SUSAN MCGILLICUDDY
TOWNSHIP SUPERVISOR

MARY M. G. HELMBRECHT
TOWNSHIP CLERK

Sandra K. Otto, Secretary